Novel Variance-Component TWAS Method for Studying Alzheimer's Disease Dementia

Jingjing Yang, PhD Assistant Professor





Outline

Motivation and Introduction

Simulation Studies with ROSMAP Data

Application Studies of Alzheimer's Disease Dementia With individual-level GWAS data With IGAP summary-level GWAS data

<ロ>

Summary

Genomic Etiology of Alzheimer's Disease Dementia

- Polygenic with low penetrance by individual genes
- Affected by multi-layers of Omics data
- Largely unknown genomic etiology



(ロ)、(部)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)() 2

Integrate Transcriptomic Data in GWAS by TWAS

Train Gene Expression Prediction Model

- Reference transcriptome (Response)
- Reference genotype (Predictors)

Franscriptome-wide Association Studies (TWAS)

Predict Genetically Regulated Gene Expression (GReX)

- Estimated cis-eQTL effect sizes (SNP weights)
- GWAS data of test cohort

Test the association between GReX and phenotype

- Phenotype and predicted GReX of test cohort
- GWAS summary statistics

Standard TWAS Framework

- Quantitative gene expression trait T_g
- Genotype data of all cis-SNPs X
- SNP effect sizes on transcriptome (eQTL effect sizes) w_i

$$\mathbf{T}_{g} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
$$\widehat{GReX}_{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{w}_{i}x_{i}^{*}$$
$$E[g(\mathbf{Y}_{pheno}|\mathbf{X}^{*}, \widehat{\mathbf{w}})] = \widehat{\gamma GReX}_{g} = \gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{w}_{i}x_{i}^{*}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\widehat{\gamma w}_{i})x_{i}^{*}$$

- Existing TWAS tools all assume SNP effect sizes on phenotype β_i = γŵ_i, i = 1, · · · ,m.
- Burden test: $H_0: \gamma = 0$

Variance-Component TWAS (VC-TWAS)

• Tests if the phenotype variance component due to *GReX_g* is non-zero:

$$E[g(\mathbf{Y}_{pheno}|\mathbf{X}^*,\widehat{\mathbf{w}})] = \sum_{i=1}^m (\gamma \widehat{w}_i) x_i^* = \mathbf{X}^* \boldsymbol{\beta},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_i \sim N(0, \widehat{w}_i^2 \tau)$$

- Estimated eQTL effect sizes $\hat{w_i}$ from the reference panel will be taken as SNP weights
- Variance Component test: $H_0: \tau = 0$

VC-TWAS Test Statistic

• $\hat{\mu}$ denotes the phenotype mean under the null model

$$Q = (\mathbf{Y} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}), \ \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{XWX}$$

$$\mathbf{W} = diag(\widehat{w_1}^2, \cdots, \widehat{w_m}^2)$$

- Test statistic Q follows a mixture of chi-square distributions under the null hypothesis
- P-value can be easily calculated by Davies exact method as used by SKAT
- P-value calculation is also derived for using GWAS summary statistics

Simulation Study Design

- Use the real genotype data of gene ABCA7 with 2799 cis-SNPs with MAF > 5% and HWP > 10^{-5}
- Training sample size (499), test sample size (400,800,1200)
- Consider $p_{causal} = 0.2$ for the proportion of causal SNPs for gene expression
- Consider target gene expression heritability and phenotype heritability: (h²_e, h²_p)
- Simulate quantitative gene expression traits:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{E}}, \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{E}} \sim N(0, (1 - h_e^2)\mathbf{I})$$

< ロ ト < @ ト < E ト < E ト E の Q @ 7</p>

Consider Two Phenotype Models

- $\mathbf{Y} = \gamma \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{g}} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{Y}} = \gamma (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{E}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{Y}}; \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{Y}} \sim N(0, (1 h_p^2)\mathbf{I})$
- γ is chosen to ensure phenotype heritability h²_p due to gene expression
- 2.

1.

 $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{Y}}; \ \boldsymbol{\beta}_i \sim N(0, \gamma w_i^2), \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{Y}} \sim N(0, (1 - h_p^2)\mathbf{I})$

- w_i denotes the true eQTL effect size used to simulate gene expression
- γ is chosen to ensure phenotype heritability h²_p due to genotypes

Compare TWAS Power

eQTL effect sizes w_i were estimated from training cohort

- Estimated by TIGAR using the nonparametric Bayesian Dirichlet Process Regression (DPR) model
- Or by PrediXcan using Elastic-net penalized regression model

Compare TWAS power

- Standard Burden TWAS vs. VC-TWAS
- VC-TWAS using individual-level vs. summary-level GWAS data

Compare TWAS power between standard Burden TWAS and VC-TWAS



୬ ବ େ 10

ж

Compare VC-TWAS power between using individual-level and summary-level GWAS data



-With individual-level GWAS data

Application Studies of Alzheimer's Disease Dementia (AD)

ROS/MAP

- Training data: 499 samples with both genotype and transcriptomic data (14,156 genes)
- Individual GWAS data of 2,093 samples
- Study AD clinical diagnosis, Global AD pathology
- Adjusted for covariates age at death, sex, smoking, ROS or MAP study, education level, top 3 PCs

Mayo Clinic LOAD GWAS Data

- Individual GWAS data of 2,099 samples
- Study AD clinical diagnosis
- Adjusted for covariates age, sex, top 3 PCs

Application Studies of Alzheimer's Disease Dementia

-With individual-level GWAS data

VC-TWAS of AD Clinical Diagnosis



<ロト (個) (目) (目) (目) (日) (13)

Application Studies of Alzheimer's Disease Dementia

-With individual-level GWAS data

VC-TWAS of Global AD Pathology



<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><10</td>

IGAP summary-level GWAS data

- GWAS summary statistics for studying AD by International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project (IGAP)
- Generated by meta-analysis of four consortia (\sim 17K cases and \sim 37K controls; European)
 - Alzheimer's Disease Genetic Consortium (ADGC)
 - Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) Consortium
 - European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (EADI)
 - Genetic and Environmental Risk in Alzheimer's Disease (GERAD) Consortium

VC-TWAS results with IGAP summary-level GWAS data



◆□ → < 団 → < 三 → < 三 → ○ < ○ 16</p>

Locus Zoom plot for loci on Chr 11 and Chr 19



r squared • 0.8-1 • 0.4-0.8 • 0.2-0.4 • <0.2

Using both cis- and trans- eQTL effect sizes estimated by Bayesian Genome-wide TWAS method



18

Table 3. Significant genes identified by VC-TWAS using IGAP summary statistics data with BGW cis- and trans- eQTL weights, which are either known GWAS loci or shown to be related with AD or other neurological diseases by previous studies. AD risk genes identified by previous GWAS are shaded in grey.

| Gene Name | CHROM | Start | End | P-value | FDR |
|-----------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| ARHGEF2 ^a | 1 | 155,916,644 | 155,966,129 | 1.75×10 ⁻¹⁶ | 2.46×10 ⁻¹³ |
| GAS5 ^a | 1 | 173,833,037 | 173,838,020 | 1.33×10 ⁻²² | 3.11×10 ⁻¹⁹ |
| NCOA1 a | 2 | 24,714,782 | 24,993,571 | 2.42×10 ⁻²⁵ | 8.51×10 ⁻²² |
| CENPO ^a | 2 | 25,016,004 | 25,045,245 | 4.07×10 ⁻⁷ | 1.85×10 ⁻⁴ |
| SLC4A10 ^a | 2 | 162,280,842 | 162,841,792 | 8.80×10 ⁻⁶ | 2.58×10 ⁻³ |
| MAN2A1 | 5 | 109,025,066 | 109,205,326 | 6.30×10 ⁻⁸ | 3.70×10 ⁻⁵ |
| ZMAT2 ^a | 5 | 140,079,746 | 140,086,266 | 1.83×10 ⁻⁶ | 6.43×10 ⁻⁴ |
| HLA-DRB5 ^a | 6 | 32,485,119 | 32,498,064 | 7.81×10 ⁻⁷ | 3.23×10 ⁻⁴ |
| AHR ^a | 7 | 17,338,245 | 17,385,776 | 2.11×10 ⁻⁵ | 5.62×10 ⁻³ |
| JAZF1 | 7 | 27,870,191 | 28,220,362 | 7.49×10 ⁻⁸ | 4.22×10 ⁻⁵ |
| ACHE ^a | 7 | 100,487,614 | 100,494,594 | 5.93×10 ⁻⁵ | 1.39×10 ⁻² |
| DLGAP2 ^a | 8 | 1,449,530 | 1,656,642 | 1.18×10 ⁻⁵ | 3.38×10 ⁻³ |
| RFX3 ^a | 9 | 3,218,296 | 3,526,004 | 4.69×10 ⁻⁵ | 1.16×10 ⁻² |
| SLC25A28 ^a | 10 | 101,370,281 | 101,380,535 | 1.55×10 ⁻⁷ | 7.79×10 ⁻⁵ |
| PDCD11 ^a | 10 | 105,156,404 | 105,206,049 | 2.52×10 ⁻⁸ | 1.69×10 ⁻⁵ |
| ROBO4 ^a | 11 | 124,753,586 | 124,768,396 | 1.13×10 ⁻⁶ | 4.30×10 ⁻⁴ |
| USP30 ^a | 12 | 109,460,893 | 109,525,831 | 1.50×10 ⁻¹⁰ | 1.41×10 ⁻⁷ |
| PPP1R3E ^a | 14 | 23,765,111 | 23,772,057 | 2.11×10 ⁻⁵ | 5.62×10 ⁻³ |
| MTFMT ^a | 15 | 65,294,844 | 65,321,977 | 3.10×10 ⁻⁵ | 8.09×10 ⁻³ |
| PARP6 | 15 | 72,533,521 | 72,565,340 | 6.77×10 ⁻⁶ | 2.07×10 ⁻³ |
| GRIN2A ^a | 16 | 9,852,375 | 10,276,611 | 2.22×10 ⁻⁸ | 1.56×10 ⁻⁵ |
| SETD6 a | 16 | 58,549,382 | 58,554,431 | 3.44×10 ⁻⁵ | 8.81×10 ⁻³ |
| NUP88 ^a | 17 | 5,264,257 | 5,323,480 | 2.65×10 ⁻⁷ | 1.24×10^{-4} |
| NOS2 ^a | 17 | 26,083,791 | 26,127,555 | 9.55×10 ⁻⁵ | 2.07×10 ⁻² |
| CEACAM19 | 19 | 45,174,723 | 45,187,631 | 1.46×10 ⁻⁵ | 4.03×10 ⁻³ |
| APOC1 a | 19 | 45,417,920 | 45,422,606 | 2.80×10 ⁻⁶ | 9.63×10 ⁻⁴ |

a: Genes shown to be related with AD or other neurological diseases by previous studies

Summary

- VC-TWAS relaxes the assumption of a linear relationship between SNP effect sizes on phenotype and one transcriptome
- Higher TWAS power is achieved in practice
- VC-TWAS can be applied to using both individual-level and summary-level GWAS data
- Different methods, e.g., PrediXcan/Elastic-Net, TIGAR/DPR, BGW-TWAS/BVSR, can be used to estimate the eQTL effect sizes that will be taken as SNP weights by VC-TWAS
- Implemented in our TIGAR tool https://github.com/yanglab-emory/TIGAR

Paper on PLOS Genetics

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Novel Variance-Component TWAS method for studying complex human diseases with applications to Alzheimer's dementia

Shizhen Tango^{1,2}, Aron S. Buchman³, Philip L. De Jager⁴, David A. Bennett³, Michael P. Epstein¹, Jingjing Yang¹*

 Center for Computational and Quantitative Genetics, Department of Human Genetics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America, 2 Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Emory University School of Public Health, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America, 3 Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America, 4 Center for Translational and Computational Neuroimmunology, Department of Neurology and Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York, United States of America

* jingjing.yang@emory.edu

Acknowledgement

Yang Lab @ Emory



Mayo Clinic LOAD GWAS

🕰 AMP-AD Knowledge Portal ★



Supported by R35GM138313



National Institute of General Medical Sciences